

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/607,330	06/26/2003	Armand Malnoe	112701-365	4205
29157 7:	590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Cifflether (a)	10/607,330	MALNOE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDC	days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	December 2004.	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.	•
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ኛዲች. የ
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the control of the co
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom consideration.	Market Action of
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	क्षा सुरक्ष र अवस्थातः (१)	कोल को _{दि} र्भ त
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	in the Community of the second	•
8) Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
, <u> </u>		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	A TO THE STATE OF
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	·
Driority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 440		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	· · · · · ·	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	• •	ived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rece	ived.
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	any (PTO-413)
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	~/	al Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0904

Application/Control Number: 10/607,330

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a composition comprising plant material, classified in class 424, subclass 725, for example.
- II. Claims 23-26, drawn to a pet food product, classified in class 424, subclass 442, for example.
- III. Claims 27-32, drawn to a process of preparing a nutritional food product, classified in class 426, subclass 481, for example.
- IV. Claims 33-38 and 57-62, drawn to method of reducing the risk of inflammation, classified in class 424, subclass 78.05, for example.
- V. Claims 39-44 and 57-62, drawn to method of reducing the risk of osteoarthritis, classified in class 514, subclass 825, for example.
- VI. Claims 45-50 and 57-62, drawn to method of reducing the risk of autoimmune disease, classified in class 436, subclass 506, for example.
- VII. Claims 51-62, drawn to method of reducing the risk of cancer, classified in class 424, subclass 155.1, for example.

Inventions I -II and IV-VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, there are numerous methods of reducing the risk of the above mentioned diseases (including numerous prescription drugs) that do not require any of the products of Groups I-II. With regards to claims 57-62, they are generic to all methods of treatments and therefore are grouped together in each of the method groups.

Inventions I-II and III are related as a product and process for preparing. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, there

Application/Control Number: 10/607,330

Art Unit: 1654

are numerous methods of preparing a nutritional food product that does not require the product of Groups I-II. There are various other plants that can be used in the composition that do not require using the product of Group I.

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification). The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus it would be undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the Examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,330

Art Unit: 1654

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a plant in a composition, a dietary agent and a nutritional supplement.

Claim 7, 9 and 13 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a plant, a dietary agent and a nutritional supplement as active ingredients as claimed in claims 7, 9 and 13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, (i.e. elect one plant, i.e. as in *Asteracae* or coffee or soja or chicory or lettuce or extracts thereof or combinations thereof) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant should select a single disclosed species from the dietary agents listed from antioxidants or glucosamine or chondroitin sulphate or omega-3 fatty acids or combinations thereof. In addition, Applicant should select a single disclosed species from a nutritional supplement or a food product or food preparation or cereal product or pet food or a pharmaceutical or a functional food composition or combinations thereof. Currently, 5, 7, 9, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22, 25, 26, 30, 32, 34, 40, 46, 52, and 62 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1654

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sbme

SUBAN D. COE PATENT EXAMINER

Smant be 2-305